

**Open Letter to Prime Minister Manmohan Singh**  
***Gram Sabha's voice in forest clearance needs to be strengthened, not diluted***

*For correspondence:*

Kalpavriksh, Flat no 5, 2nd Floor,  
Shri Dutta Krupa,  
908, Deccan Gymkhana,  
Pune 411004, Maharashtra, India  
Phone No: +91-20- 25670979, 25675450  
[www.kalpavriksh.org](http://www.kalpavriksh.org)

To  
Dr. Manmohan Singh  
Prime Minister of India  
Government of India  
New Delhi

Jan 24, 2013

Subject: Concerns on possible dilution of MOEF's circular of July 2009 on compliance of Forest Rights Act for projects requiring forest diversion

Dear Dr. Manmohan Singh

This is with reference to the recent news report<sup>1</sup> according to which, your office has asked MoEF and MoTA to ease regulations or processes for compliance to Forest Rights Act and related circulars to enable easier clearances of projects requiring forest land diversion. If this report is accurate, it is a matter of great concern from a perspective of both environmental and social justice. Any such attempt at easing processes that bypass the Forest Rights Act will also be illegal, and violative of the constitutional rights of citizens to be part of decision-making affecting their lives and livelihoods.

The news article mentions that it is being felt by the government that gram sabha consent is not necessary in cases where the project has to go through a public hearing process (applicable to all projects which require environmental clearance in addition to forest clearance). We strongly disagree with such an argument and believe that the two processes can not be equated because

- these are two very different processes, one is open public process for all directly and indirectly involved, whereas the other is specific to a village and its gram sabha members.
- in reality most public hearings are conducted where many persons to be affected are not even made aware of the place or time of the hearing.
- the *results* of the public hearing are in no way mandatory on project proponents or the government.

---

<sup>1</sup> 'PMO pushes for dilution of environmental clearance norms', Times of India, Jan 11, 2013,  
<http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/PMO-wants-tribals-consent-in-giving-forests-for-projects-diluted/articleshow/17975932.cms>

- Moreover, the **requirement of completing the process of recognition of forest rights before diversion (a requirement of the circular) cannot be achieved or ensured through a public hearing or through merely a statement from the State government.**

**The Gramsabha after following all due and legal procedures must give in writing that the processes under the FRA have been completed** if we indeed want to ensure that the 'historic injustice' is not constantly repeated.

Our second concern is regarding the possibility of limiting the scope of MoEF's July 2009 circular only to cases 'where there is significant impact on lives and livelihoods'. We believe that **strict compliance in letter and spirit to the above mentioned circular in all cases is of primary significance to be able to assess whether or not a diversion has 'significant impact on lives and livelihoods'**. We also believe that it is **against the democratic principles to make centralized decisions about what extent of social impact that may be worth considering while diverting forests over which individuals and/or village community may have 'inalienable' forest rights vested through FRA**. It is also important to bear in mind that the process of **rights recognition under FRA is one of the few that acknowledges rights in their entirety including social, cultural, access and decision making rights of local communities to their community forest resources. Over-riding of such processes can lead to the danger of assuming that all rights can be monetized and settled** instead of striving for negotiations on more equitable terms for reaching common understanding and consensus.

It is to be noted that as it is, compliance to the Forest Rights Act and the related circular is largely ignored at present. This has even been admitted by the Forest Advisory Committee or FAC (if a little late) in April 2012 in its minutes where it finally urged for documentary evidence to be enclosed in proposals. However, in its last meeting (December 22, 2012), the FAC has recommended clearance to two mining projects in Odisha (Agenda Item 12 and 13) even after admitting that no rights recognition under FRA, as required, had taken place in either. For these two diversions, the FAC has ordered eviction of all *encroachers* which in itself is a violation of FRA as it is legally incorrect to term any forest dweller as encroacher when the process of recognition of rights under FRA is not completed and leaves the decision of whom to evict completely open to interpretation and possible misconstruction.

The Minister of Tribal Affairs has through a series of letters<sup>2</sup> raised concern at the complete neglect of such regulations in forest land diversions and the need for more transparency. His concerns and recommendations are absolutely essential to the continued well-being of India's vulnerable forest-dwelling communities. There is already much concern amongst such communities, and in civil society, regarding the decision to set up a Cabinet Committee on Investments that can over-ride ministries who are otherwise mandated to take expert decisions. We would also like to draw your attention to the letter addressed to you by Ministry of Environment and Forests dated 9th October 2012 which said:

---

<sup>2</sup> The concern has been expressed in the letter sent by Shri Deo to Chief Ministers on Implementation of Forest Rights Act on 24 May 2012, in the guidelines on FRA issued on 12 July 2012 and the letter addressed to Smt. Natarajan on 19 November 2012

*It is important to note that environment and forest clearance requires careful and nuanced decision making to balance the interest of different stakeholders- conservation, local people's livelihoods and economic growth. We have found that when decisions discount these interests, it leads to disputes and interventions by the courts and appellate authorities. It is in the interest of building investor confidence that the risk of environmental dispute, post clearance, is minimized and obviated. **This can only be done by strengthening and not weakening, the current regulatory and decision making procedures and processes.***

Your government has always pledged commitment to democratic decision-making and justice for the *aam aadmi*. The election manifesto of Indian National Congress (2009) declares: *The Indian National Congress is unwavering in its commitment to full Constitutionally-mandated devolution of funds, functions and functionaries to the panchayats.*


In the present atmosphere, it is clear that in the processes of forest land clearance, it is the forest dwellers and the forests that seem to be getting more neglected rather than the investment companies. We, therefore, strongly urge you to:

- **Ensure that MoEF and MoTA are not pressurized by the PMO to bring in guidelines and clarifications for relaxation of the process for gram sabha consent and FRA compliance in forest clearance.**
- **To instead, work towards strengthening transparent and democratic decision making process by supporting the need of rights recognition through FRA in general, and in areas requiring diversion, in particular.**

We are hopeful that your office will give due consideration to our prayers.

Thank you,

Ashish Kothari/ Neema Pathak/ Shiba Desor, Kalpavriksh, Pune

The image shows three handwritten signatures in black ink. From left to right: 'Ashish Kothari' with a long horizontal line underneath; 'Neema pathak' with a horizontal line underneath; and 'Shiba Desor' with a horizontal line underneath.

Tushar Dash, Vasundhara, Odisha

Sumi Krishna, Bangalore

Sharad Lele, ATREE, Bangalore

Sankar Pani, Natural Justice

Tilottama Sarkar, Madhya Pradesh

Vanita Suneja, Oxfam

Sandeep Pattnaik, National Centre For Advocacy Studies, Bhubaneswar

Chandrakant Deokar, Oxfam India

Pratibha Shinde, Lok Sangharsh Morcha, Nandurbar  
Dilip Gode, VNCS, Nagpur  
Mohan Hirabai Hiralal, Vrikshamitra, Maharashtra  
Vaishali Patil, ANKUR, Raigad  
Surekha Dalvi, Shramik Kranti Sanghatana, Taigad  
Milind Wani, Kalpavriksh  
Nitya Ghotge, ANTHRA  
Sham Singh Patane, Adivasi Adhikar Rashtriya Manch, Nandurbar  
Ratansingh Sheraiya Padvi, Adivasi Adhikar Rashtriya Manch, Nandurbar  
Kumar Shiralkar, AARM, Nandurbar  
Ashok Pekari, Adivasi Adhikar Rashtriya Manch, Pune  
Adinath Omble, SJVS, Thane  
Pradeep Chavan, Kalpavriksh, Pune  
Yash Sethia, IIT, Powai  
Indavi Tulpule, Shramik Mukti Sangh, Thane  
Shashikant Bhise, SIVS, Thane  
Dilip Navghare, Vidarbh nisarg sanrakshan, Gadchiroli  
Bansi Ghuvade, ADS, Raigad  
Mahadeo Gillurkar, Khoj, Melghat  
Gunvant D. Vaidya, AWARD, Chandrapur  
Vijay I. Dethe, Paryavaran Mitra, Chandrapur  
Purnima Upadhyay, KHOJ Melghat  
Brian Lobo, Kashtakari Sanghatan  
Dr. Kishor Moghe, GSMT, jalaka, Yavatmal  
Rebecca S. David, Oxfam India  
Nilesh Heda, Samvardhan  
Gangadhar S. Atram, Dilasa, Yavatmal

Milind Thatte, Vayam, Thane

Vinayak Talkar, Vayam, Jawhar

Prabhakar Thakur, SAKAV, Raigad

Subodh Kulkarni, Jnana Prabhdhini

Devaji Tofa, Mendha Lekha

Suryabhan Khobragade, Sachiv Krishak Charcha Mandal, Saygara, Chandrapur

Rupchand Dakhane, Gadchiroli

Keshav Gurnule, Srishti, Gadchiroli

Sathish Gogulwar, Aamhi Aamchya Aarogyasathi, Gadchiroli

Gajanan Athavale, Rajarshi Shahu maharaj Bahuuddeshiy sanstha, Buldhana

Anand Bang, TISS, Mumbai